

EI- 7074

Port McKenzie Rail Extension EIS

Public Meeting

Knik Elementary School

March 3, 2008

Dana Olson – I'm opposed to this NEPA process because there is a state NEPA process and the State and the local government of the Mat-Su Borough has not followed under the Alaska Lands Interest Act. The fact that they're attempting to go in and revise the Willow Basin Plan and the portions of the Susitna Area Plan when the Supreme Court has dictated that they could not do site specific planning. This process is superseding that. One of the issues that has been a continual problem of the Borough refusing to allow me to participate on planning boards and teams and to officially take my input and I live in an Indian village in Knik and one of the issues that I brought up is that the Land Use Plans are outdated and that the Borough needed to address density and so in relations to my petition, they went in and addressed density of how things are on a particular lot, which violates the Supreme Court mandate. I have a tree farm in Knik. I've been recognized as having this tree farm in various legal proceedings including Federal lawsuits where it was argued extensively. I still have the tree farm and I maintain that all this traffic and whatnot is inodorous to my pollination and growth of my trees and that EPA recognizes me because they just sent me a form where I argued it on the pollination of grasses with the Caribou up at one of their scoping meetings and they just recently sent me that again so they're recognizing me as a person who is deeply involved in air quality planning and quite frankly the EPA did not allow me to participate when the State was grading the standards. In fact, I persisted so much that they barred me from the EPA offices and I have to file everything written, even though I didn't do anything. I just wanted them to take a log every time the State held an air quality meeting that I wasn't allowed to participate as effectively as other people and I was discouraged to participate.

The State has no trespass standard for air quality and the Federal Government cannot determine personal injury because there is a precedent 1918 case that says that the federal courts recognize that for death the State can go above and beyond federal standards but for personal injury, this is a State issue. The State has not an adequate standard, considering that I have three children, all deceased that all have different standards on them. Like I said, I'm almost to the point I'm going to file a rape complaint because the third child that was killed was on the issue of McGrath bear lift and all I asked for was a review of the McGrath bear lift which was subsistence for other people and the Governor and whatnot were trying to support that the Board of Fish and Game decisions are not reviewable. So the issue of historical right-of-ways and historical water rights being paid for in other states, the State doesn't want to address that in Alaska and these are corridors and if I have the right, then you don't have the right to come in and tell me I'm going to do a NEPA without effectively making it in my best interest to participate.

There's a U.S. Supreme Court argument that the voices of cases acts as a single voice and I have filed many things asking for the Alaska Lands Interest Act to be complied with. The lead agency in that is the USDA who has the land capability classification system and it's based on Montana standards, which some of these corridors could potentially hook up to ones in Montana of where the Chief's son is buried on my great-grandfather's farm. It's called the Bootlegger's Trail and it goes up to Toulash Bridge and while I was down there last year I argued that the issue – a citizen of one state challenging in another state makes it a federal issue, not a state. So I don't know, but I am recognized in the Susitna Basin license for oil and gas for asking for federal relocation assistance and it's recognized in State Superior Court also. It's a published standard in the Loussac Library in Anchorage. I maintain that this corridor could potentially

interfere with my traditional way of life and if it would be argued that I've had no indoctrination in this state in 30 years because every time I've tried to buy a property or got it through the land lottery, somebody's trying to claim it all these years, then I might go down and just claim my right of sovereignty all the way down to Montana because my family and relatives believed in being indoctrinated [sic] in the gene pool of America if the State of Alaska refuses to allow me to have anything.

This is one of the things that I struggle with because they make me angry. A person should be able to be on his own little property and not be interfered with, with all the defending of this permit and that permit and this EIS meeting. Knik has got a different lifestyle, even though the train isn't projected to come, the fact is that the applicant of which the Borough wants to better improve the value of their property is that they went in and dumped a whole bunch of fertilizer in the Inlet while the State and the Borough have consistently argued that I shouldn't have the use of my state homestead because I might pollute. The fact that the Alaska Railroad was carrying federal Department of Defense oil and the fact that the State is currently under U.S. Code 49 attempting to change the definition of commodity, I have a lot of problems because potentially by water rights, which are apportioned by gallons for horses, the State wants to claim that the RS2477 scope via dog mush, I argued that my five horses went to this legislative grant, to that legislative grant back to Knik. In Montana where I arose or my origin, they just paid the Indians 15,000,000 for the water rights so this is an issue. It's a national issue, it's not a State issue. It's not something that EIS is going to be able to fix. It's something that Congress needs to address or the Borough. It's land management.

I guess I'm giving notice that I'm not going to consent to the process because I see no purpose to go in and snub me in the face for 30 years, making me have to argue that I need this

Land Use Plan revision, of which my child was killed in direct relation to it being taken out of the Coastal Management Program and I appealed to the Secretary of Commerce and he didn't do anything about it. I appealed before my daughter got killed and there was no governmental entity out in Trapper Creek. There was no Land Use Plan, no Comprehensive Plan, no Governmental coordination. There's a State cemetery out there of which I have several children and there are issues of the Borough letting the fire trucks sitting down in Miller's Reach just sitting there and not going to put out the forest fire and trying to let it burn through that area and burn out what they called substandard housing. I was persecuted for raising a fair housing complaint. My children were given to my husband solely and then I was accused of separating from my own property.

I still maintain I've served the U.S. Secretary of Transportation an alleged federal conspiracy and I met with Steve Morano years ago and I raised some of these issues and he said there was no projects going through my area so it's time to revisit that obviously. That was his official response. There was no known projects going through my area. That's not true. So I'd have to say that the Surface Transportation Board really needs to coordinate with the U.S. Secretary of Transportation on how to proceed. I don't think they will have the Certificate of Need because, like I said, there are too many problems that aren't really addressable at a local level. They're not really even addressable at a state level. They are more of national and international concerns. I feel a sense of anger that the Borough has snubbed me when I asked them to simply provide me a density ratio. They want all this growth over here with no checks and it's in a coastal management program.

I've been up at the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court's been trying to argue how I need to participate. I'm telling you now, I'm getting sick and tired of being up in the courts

trying to argue what it is to participate. Sometimes my comments aren't taken. Other times I'm attached to things against my will and it's totally, totally out of control here. I mean, you hear of the corruption things. I was just hearing some of that corruption stuff on the radio as I was driving here. It's just – I don't know how to say it. It's really pathetic. I grow apple trees. I require a standard for air quality. I try to participate in air monitoring. I filed a petition with the EPA Administrator years ago alleging the South Central Clean Air Authority was defunct. The Borough wasn't participating so they simply just made agreements and Certificates of Need. This is what I'm saying is you are violating these standards that were set up by other federal agencies. I have a ruling from – I can't think of his name right now, who said that the South Central Clean Air Authority is not defunct so there is problems with smog and they call it glacier dust. I said, what's the definition of smog, isn't it dust particles trapped in a compound? And it hangs around the mountains and they go in and say that the Mat-Su Borough it doesn't have to fall under a non-containment because it blows away. It is a violate of the Clean Air Act outright concerning the right to participate and that is a real problem with me. The second thing is that Alaska Land Interest Act recognizes the narrative water quality standards be subject to a Clean Water Act challenge. If you're trying to put that through there without addressing the corridors of which my water rights run.

I appreciate you coming here and allowing testimony. There's too many topics and there's probably some that are forgotten and this isn't really the place to be giving oral testimony like this but I'll just end by saying I have a pending lawsuit against the Alaska Railroad for fraud and misrepresentation and under Federal Civil Substantial Evidence rules, fraud and misrepresentation is not allowed to be brought up and when I gave my testimony to a court reporter, all the people that the DEC was trying to address whether they should spray along the

railroad tracks up north of Talkeetna at Chase, whatnot, these people are not named, they did not come under a court reporter and I called them unnamed participants and the Superior Court refuses to serve them so they're sitting there not able to go forth and not able to go forth federally for the fraud and misrepresentation.

The issue about how plants actually grow and the toxins of the railroad track actually prevent the plants from going well because they kill out which plants actually get their nutrients in the soil using a natural soil finder. They went in and argued, while I had a State DEC Pesticide Applicator License, they went in and argued that the license would basically be no good. Give me a standard but I'm going to pollute all this. As I said, there's too much garbage going out. The issues of liability on corridors, the State doesn't want to assume workmens' comp on corridors. It appears that they don't want to address the workmens' comp and as leaseholder or whatever they don't want to pay it either. It's like, who pays it? You're going to work on corridors and stuff, who's liable? So you go in and you bring up the issue of the unions and them having standards that nobody else has and social profiling under national labor laws. People should expect to know what the standards are.

I think that the Surface Transportation Board should meet with me in private and try to work out the solution. Thanks for letting me testify.

This is Dana Olson and I just wanted to bring up something that I had forgotten and it has to do with meeting with I think it was Joe Joiner under Dick Mylus the Director of DNR concerning the Willow Sub-basin Plan and the portions of the Susitna Area Plan that I gave them official notice that I opted out of that planning process because I accused them of not operating under the Alaska Lands Interest Act, which has a foreseeability standards of permeability, soil depth and whatnot of which railroad tracks need to – that needs to be considered and that they

were simply writing variances and giving notices intent so that they could go in and give variances to various permits when the Land Use Plans have narrative water quality standards in them and corridors. What I wanted to bring up is that it's this writing that the Alaska Railroad has said repeatedly that they don't have to comply with a regional land use plan mandate that they aren't required to comply with that planning process and so I guess I forced the issue and so now DNR is going to try to do it but I wanted to bring up that I gave a copy of a FEMA document about disaster preparedness and it had all the listed agencies, state and federal including the Division of Forestry and even Unocal is in there and the Veteran's Affairs and all these state and federal agencies and it talks about what are unfair trade practices under insurance law and I gave that to the State that one of the reasons I was opting out because it was violating the Borough document that was published in 1997 and to my knowledge there hasn't been any public comments.

In fact, the only time I was able to comment to the Legislature on disaster preparedness was I was sneaky. I went down to the Legislative Information Office and faxed them my comments and faxed them to the Borough manager because it was closed. There was no public participation in disaster planning so I mean, I argued this. Everybody knows it and nobody cares. Right now the Borough's costal management program has no density whatsoever in it. There's no one knowing what the enforcement penalty is for violating anything. In fact, the only thing they said was they were going to address recreation. So, you know, we're in a coastal area and the Borough knew this. I mean, it's not like I just brought this up. They know it. In fact, I tried to opt out. I've been in some court cases about whether I can opt out or not and yes, I do believe that it is my choice as to how I want to participate and especially if fraud and misrepresentation cannot be raised under substantial evidence rules federally then and the State

doesn't give the opportunity to raise in some capacity in these land use plans then it's not going to go anywhere.

I wanted to say that April 21st is when one of the Civil Rule 10 is supposed to change I believe and Civil Rule 10 is trying to say that people have the right to participate or they're agreeing that they can take their issue to court was right now. Like I said, right now I have a court case with the Alaska Road and the State Judge refuses to serve unnamed participants so their attempt to go in and use a court rule – I just argued today in the Supreme Court that the right to defend property is not something that is rationalized under any court rules and that I have a right to raise an objection and defend my property or myself or my injuries or whatever interest me. I have a right. It's a God-given right. No court rule decides my right and I've got a Supreme Court dictate from the Appellate Court telling me that I had to address Appellate rule 403 and Appellate rule 402 and I wrote back and told them no, no court rules determine how I will defend. That is something that is born of God and is supported by the 14th Amendment. No court rule can do that.

So it's like I said, a lot of issues I would hope that the Surface Transportation Board would tell the State outright to go in and revise the Susitna Area Plan as the entire plan dictated instead of peacemaking it so that I can have my corridor standards either revised or thrown out or whatever. They refuse to put it in there and if it's not in there then we're going to have legal problems because the Supreme Court said that it was necessary to consider the land use on a regional basis and not for specific planning. The State knows this. The State's been violating this while holding me to it. In fact, when they were doing the coal bed methane, they made me a mitigation standard for all the coal bed methane and I went in there and I told them I didn't want to be anybody's mitigation standard and so the State has a history of trying to make me a legal

standard because they wanted to say that the pipeline standards, of which I was and am, that that would be the standard for it. They need to do it right. They made me wait all these years, otherwise I'm going to – I still maintain that my trees transferred via the State Land Lottery and they didn't reserve them and they had the right to reserve them and they can't – the State has been trying to destroy my property interests up at Chase because it's a corridor standard and so that's going to bring up some other issues if that happens and if they don't agree to work with me about that timber sale that I offered them to go out and do a timber sale on my property and I would plant my trees and they could take the trees and build me a cabin. After tying up my land all these years and then making me go around and argue that I'm a corridor standard.

I want to just say that I did argue that I was denied the right to sit on Elmendorf Air Force Base's Citizen Advisory and that there is no one in Knik represented on that thing and yet the railroad may go across the Inlet and may even go on Elmendorf and connect onto Elmendorf and yet, you're doing an EIS and Elmendorf has nobody sitting in Knik and I volunteered to do it and I was passionate about it and they went in and they scrutinized and said that I had to describe to their satisfaction of how my interests effected theirs and stuff and, you know, it's like, that's wrong. They should be open. The AWACS crash was due to geese or birds flying into the engine. My former spouse had to go clean it up on Elmendorf – the wreckage – so we know a little bit about that and the game preserve out here is proposed in the State's Willow Sub-Basin Plan is being exempt from consideration of any impact and so I'm saying, you're going to do an EIS while the State's exempting the national security of the Military bases with the game and stuff, they're going to exempt it from their planning and so I wanted to bring up why I want what I want and I want the plan done. There's no hurry to get this thing done and the other thing is that the Borough is trying to in the end of March wants to do permits of uses while certain uses

like historical water rights are vested and they're recorded in the land recorder's office, the Borough wants to try to rationalize property with these permits and stuff and harass people who don't go along with it and I'm not consenting to that kind of government so in other words, I have a land use plan recognizing me as agriculture, all right; however, the Borough won't implement the zoning while they go in and implement down at Point McKenzie their zoning, but they won't recognize my right to have zoning.

I mean, they didn't take my input in the comprehensive plan and I sued them along with my former spouse or whatever he is. I sued him in 1997 in 97219 Federal for not taking my input and was argued I couldn't represent my husband so no one took my – since he was impaired with all the heavy pain killers the VA put him on, the Federal Courts wouldn't even recognize his right to have his wife go down there and tell them, quit interfering with his VOC rehab and my tree farm so, you know, the Borough has not acted in good faith, not even a little bit. When I sat on their group, I got the support of other members and I asked them to bring me a definition of what is trespass. Don't you think a land owner might want to know what the definition of trespass is? So anyway, they said, it was in the Borough attorney's office and I said okay, can you please bring it at the next meeting. They made a big deal about me just being disruptive. Well, it was several members that wanted the definition of trespass. I said, I'm sorry but I'm going to need a definition of trespass and so anyway I filed an appeal but here's what they did. They didn't give me notice of when the appeal was, they put it in the paper and so I had to bag my work to go my lunch hour to run over there and then when I'd go in there the Borough mayor said – who is the current one, Curt Menard, he went in and said, oh look Dana's arrived. Oh, we postponed that to the next week. Kept postponing it and finally I said, I'm not doing an appeal anymore.

So they got some people to say I was disruptive when I was working with a political process of having people recognize what trespass was and now they're trying to do some sled dog mushing district so they can claim that the dog mushing is relevant to corridors because they've got this theory that because dog mushers used RS247 trails that all of the sudden they can go in and argue dog mushing – cram dog mushing down people's throat even if they're not dog mushers and only talk dog mushing because they want to go in and tax corridors of their utilities and stuff or their public things when they're not on their property. You understand that Knik has historical lands transferred from the Federal Government with full mineral rights in oil and gas and the Borough has no legal authority because they are only a division of the State. They can't address liability. They can't address water rights. They can't – and here they are making an application and yet they're only a division of the State and they can't address anything that matters to me and so where's the State? I don't see them here. How do they address my water rights when they're not here? You know, in other states they have what they call water commissions where they allocate where – they decide whose water rights – we don't have anything like that in Alaska. They want to argue it in the courts while the courts go in and define what it is to participate and then deny you. I mean, it's like – I'm telling you from a pro se advocate, you can't argue it in court.

They go in and say you should have waited until this – you should have waited until a best interest – well, why do you want to comment on a best interest writing if they haven't done their land use plan yet? So, anyway, I thought it was important to try to explain that you can't exclude areas that are maintained for national security and yet DNR – even after I argued on November 27th, 2007 before the Alaska Supreme Court about the issue. They went in and wrote a draft to say that it would be exempt. It makes me mad. Why go to a process to try and

stand up for what is right if you're just going to keep rehashing and rearguing it with them.

That's why I opted out. I hope you'll understand why I opted out because they're not doing it the way it's supposed to be done and there's harassment on people if they don't go along the way they want things done. I wanted to tell you that several years ago there was an issue in the early 90's about Medrix, this was an iron ore plant and this was going to be down at Point McKenzie so we had the Borough go in and pave a \$2,000,000 road without addressing anybody's real issues in Knik but we had to pave that road down for the iron ore facility that ended up not coming at all. This is how the Borough does things.

I just wanted to tell you my fence was torn down. My apple trees were pulled over and broken off. My trailer is now sitting on the ground. I've been threatened. I've been run off the road in my truck and it's sitting out here if you want to look at it today. And that's why it takes a lot of courage to come in and say things like this because people get persecuted. I'm being persecuted. I'm not being allowed to see my children. The Borough is trying to claim my property for back taxes while I fell down and hurt my arm and lifted up a crate and hurt it worse. This is the kind of stuff when people try and participate, this is what they get. I would ask the Surface Transportation Board to ask the FBI to come in and investigate this. This is the worse than any of the other corruption that is going on. You're going to spend all the money addressing this.

One of the other things is the State wants to say that joint ventures can condemn property and under federal law, you can't have a theory of a joint venture when you have the Surface Transportation Board sitting over this and saying that a joint venture can go in and condemn property. That's not a public process. You're going to say that joint ventures – and they also say that the Alaska Railroad can condemn property. Like I said, how can the Alaska Railroad put in

writing to me that they don't have to comply with the USDA Land Capability Classification System and had they done that – and then while the State's trying to change the definition of a commodity so that they don't have to address spills and stuff and accidents. It's like, give me a break, you know. I don't know. I don't know if anyone else will stand up. They don't want to be persecuted. But anyway, the fact that my children are being denied me – I was accused of separating from my own property and I'm living on it with my children and I am accused of separating so I've been living with no food, no heat, no electricity, no nothing under guise that I owe all this back child support and I should be punished and I'm just trying to tell you they took my children away so I couldn't file a fair housing complaint and I want to the U.S. Secretary to know and I served him with that Federal Petition and alleged conspiracy under the fair housing complaint. That's what they did to me. It's not right. It's not right. And you know what my children said? They said, Mom, keep on fighting. That's why my own children said to me.

So I don't know. If I get more persecution, I'm going to have to for protection. When my trailer fell down on the ground it was alleged that the National Guard was blowing up ammunition. That's what the Governor's Aide told me and Governor Palin refuses to give me clemency and at times it's been scary thinking that I would be cut off from my relatives and needing a passport and the State uses the child support under an absolute rule without a court order and it's nothing more than the Gestapo of the former Soviet Union. They've made me live with no heat, no electricity, frostbit, trying to say that I separated and make me go away. So, while you think that the Houston issue is fine. Houston is a only – it only has the capacity of what the Borough has and the Borough is only a division of the State. It's not a home rule community and so going in and acting like the answer is because Houston wants it, it's not the answer because my water rights go through that area. Like I argued before the Supreme Court, if

I was given the ditch and canal rights and I have the apportionment water rights pursuant under the 1866 Mining Act of the amendment and they have been vested and they are recorded as such, then I have the rights to these things and an EIS and the Federal Government or the Surface Transportation Board, you don't have the right until I consent or until there is a matter like a water commission or something to address water rights. I realize that they're rare and maybe people think it's a waste of time but I'm entitled to prosper just like anyone else and I have the right to sell my water rights or lease out my water rights and this is what I'm asking you is, to consider whether or not you owe me money and whether you owe me for those rights to use those or whether I should be relocated somewhere else. I don't know.

I don't mean to cause problems but at the same token, I have no means to get legal representation. I recently called the Alaska Legal Services Corporation and they told me they don't address any of the issues. So in other words, anyone who lives in Knik, they don't have any means to go and ask for pro bono assistance in these things and this is something the Surface Transportation Board needs to understand is the State refuses to fund it and so there isn't any money. They're too busy worrying about divorcing people or whatever or forcing child support crap mandate orders. They were even making me pay for my son who is in the military and I refused to go under and have it modified. They made me say that I had to pay for my son who is in the U.S. Navy. They refused to give me the money back. They got into my personal life and I have an EEOC complaint. Wal-Mart says I quit and so I want you to either give me my job back or tell me why you're going to come back and impair me but the EEOC has sat on this for months while trying to let them steal my property. All Wal-Mart said is I quit. I didn't quit. I tried. It was my career. I'm a – the only thing I know is agriculture and my State Department of

Labor will not send me to school even under the WIA program, which is a Federal program to help people go to school.

I don't have a means to support myself. I require my community remain the same. So if you're going to say that this is prosperity, you're going to have to help people. I've lived this way my life. I can work other jobs but I'm getting older and they discriminate against me. My teeth are broken. State won't even help me. Instead they've made me live on – took everything I had and made me try to live on \$200 a pay period -- \$240 a pay period when my car insurance – and they persecuted me and stopped me and ran up all these tickets because I couldn't – I was looking for work and I couldn't always have it on. I'd be one day off or something and then they'd persecute me and then they'd persecute me on car registration and they persecuted me and then they went in and left things on my driving record like where your car rolls in a parking lot and hits somebody, they said it was an accident. It jacked up my insurance rates where I was having to pay more than somebody on R22 insurance. I said well why don't you just give me that since you're going to make me pay all this to even have the right – so they're trying to force me out of Knik when there's no public transport. Do you understand that there's no public transport on the weekends and it's only if they want to give you reasonable accommodation. In fact, the local bus didn't even transport anybody to Knik when the Borough had their local election on whether or not they should recognize existing uses and whatnot. They refused to give me a transport to the bus – I mean, give me transport to this place is where we vote in Knik and refused to give me a ride down in Knik and the Borough refuses to put any schools in the real area of Knik. They put them down here where there is fancier housing and so there's no meeting place really.

I understand they're trying to build a fire station but for years people have asked for a means to address the issues and they make us come – to walk here is – I can't remember, this must be mile six. I live 12 and three-quarter miles with a mile off of that so in order to come vote I would have to walk all that. Not sure if it's six or seven mile. I'm sorry, I can't remember right now but I'm just trying to say is there's been no voice in Knik and I wanted to say that EPA recognizes me under Village initiatives with helping the Chief in Chikaloon Village so – and the Chief tells me that he still supports me in not having the Knik incinerator. They tried to put toxic waste around us and if you talk to the Chief, I supported him in defending his area being mined for coal and so I said, after all these years in the early 90's you still recognize who I am and he says I still oppose the Knik incinerator so I'm trying to say if the Borough has no capacity of these inner villages capacities to meet and support one another, they don't even know about it. This is why I don't think a Certificate of Need is really the issue because the Borough isn't the person to be asking it. They don't have the capacity to address many of the things that need to be addressed in the EIS and although they attempted to pay to have it done, they did it in bad – only to try to address a small segment so that they could go in and try to come up with some theory of taxing this pipeline that's sitting on part of the street which is part of my acre which I own and the Borough don't own. It's simply an easement across my land. They persecuted me with putting natural gas down my street and told me I had to pay it and threatened to take my property if I didn't pay it, even though the traditional use in Knik is not natural gas necessarily. It's wood heat and so people require they – they live with wood stoves. They need the wood. A lot of this is going to be clearing out people's livelihoods and things are going to change. To this day, there has been no assistance whatsoever to create any jobs in Knik. In fact, I participate in 2006 in a World Bank sponsored initiative to create jobs for Natives because I lived in Knik I

was allowed to participate and I was a business model for a cooperative using distilled rose oil. So you see that many of the traditional ways people want to do this and you want progress. Oh and I wanted to say one other thing that the Federal Board of Subsistence trying to change Knik from subsistence.

During the time that was going on, I had no gas money to go to Anchorage so I asked the Department of Fish and Game to represent me and tell them that I objected and they refused to do it saying that they didn't have any staff to do it and at that time the Board of Fish and Game was under control of DNR and DNR is the one who's supposed to do the land use plans so now you've got kind of an issue where if people did raise an objection about the subsistence being taken out of Knik. I want to tell you that when my disabled husband became disabled through service connections, they threw him out of the – they give you a Board decision and they threw me out in the middle of winter from Fairbanks and I had to live in a travel trailer in Knik. This is Federal Government for you. Threw us out and I was pregnant. So we had zero, we couldn't even be on unemployment for 13 weeks and here I am pregnant and everything and a neighbor – at that time we had the personal use fishery nets and a neighbor showed us how to set the net and we used the fish and that's the only thing I ate. I think my one son within the military may actually have a learning disability from eating all that mercury in the fish. That's the only food I had but I just wanted to say that the subsistence issue is a region and it's not just a little circle on a map. Knik is a region and not just a dot. Thank you.